

Some Low Dimensional Evidence for the Weak Gravity Conjecture

Miao Li^{1,2}, Wei Song¹ and Tower Wang¹

¹ *Institute of Theoretical Physics
Academia Sinica, P. O. Box 2735
Beijing 100080
and*

² *Interdisciplinary Center of Theoretical Studies
Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China*

`mli@itp.ac.cn, wsong@itp.ac.cn
wangtao218@itp.ac.cn`

We discuss a few examples in 2+1 dimensions and 1+1 dimensions supporting a recent conjecture concerning the relation between the Planck scale and the coupling strength of a non-gravitational interaction, unlike those examples in 3+1 dimensions, we do not have to resort to exotic physics such as small black holes. However, the result concerning these low dimensional examples is a direct consequence of the 3+1 dimensional conjecture.

Given the confusing situation in constructing string solutions using the effective field theory approach, it becomes important to derive constraints on the structure of viable effective field theories from string theory. A remarkable conjecture was recently forward in [1] stating that for a $U(1)$ interaction with strength g , there must be a cut-off parametrically smaller than gM_{pl} , where M_{pl} is the 4 dimensional Planck mass. For a very small coupling g , this can be a rather low energy scale otherwise unconstrained in an effective field theory. Moreover, there must exist a charged particle whose mass is smaller than gM_{pl} . This line of approach starts with [2,3], where the authors argue that the number of massless fields must be finite.

The main argument in [1] for the relation $m \leq gM_{pl}$ valid for a light charged particle relies heavily on doing away with the problem of Planck scale remnants, this problem leads to global symmetries which are supposed nonexistent in a theory of quantum gravity. Even we accept the statement that there can not be too many Planck scale remnants as a proved one, the link presented in [1] between this statement and relation $m \leq gM_{pl}$ is not rock solid, thus it is desirable to find more evidence for this relation without resorting to exotic physics such as the remnant problem.

Rewriting $m \leq gM_{pl}$ as $m \leq g/\sqrt{G}$, the latter is a universal statement in any dimensions. For instance, suppose we compactify the 4D theory in question to 3D or 2D on a flat torus, if the original 4D theory is a consistent theory, we see no reason for the resulting low dimensional theory not to be consistent. Now, both \sqrt{G} and g are reduced by a factor \sqrt{V} , where V is the volume of the torus, thus $m \leq g/\sqrt{G}$ is still valid in the low dimensional theory, with g and G get interpreted as the low dimensional gauge coupling and the Newton constant. For instance, in 3D, $M_{Pl} = 1/\sqrt{G}$ and we have $m \leq g\sqrt{M_{pl}}$, g^2 has the dimension of mass in 3D.

In this note we shall start with a couple of 3D examples and end with a 2D example.

The first example we consider is the Nielsen-Olsen vortex, it is a solution of the system of a $U(1)$ gauge field coupled to a complex scalar with an action

$$S = \int d^3x \left(-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - D_\mu\bar{\phi}D_\mu\phi - \frac{\lambda}{2}(\bar{\phi}\phi - F^2)^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where the scalar has a charge g . The mass of a static vortex solution is [4]

$$m = \frac{2\pi m_W^2}{g^2} C_1(\beta), \quad (2)$$

where $m_W^2 = 2g^2 F^2$ is the W boson mass, $C_1(\beta)$ is a function of the dimensionless ratio $\beta = \lambda/g^2$ and parametrically is of order 1.

We now couple the above system to the 2+1 dimensional gravity. We shall not try to find out the exact solution of a vortex with the presence of gravity. For us, it is sufficient to know that a mass of particle will generate a metric with a deficit angle. For a point-like mass, the metric reads [5]

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + r^{-8Gm}[dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2], \quad (3)$$

where m is the mass of the point particle, this metric is actually flat. The deficit angle is $8\pi Gm$, if it exceeds 2π , the location of the particle $r = 0$ blows up to a circle of infinite radius. Thus, we require $8\pi Gm < 2\pi$ or $m < 1/(4G)$. Although we do not know the exact metric generated by the vortex (2), we expect that asymptotically there will be a deficit angle $8\pi Gm$. Applying the mass formula (2) to the above inequality we derive, parametrically

$$m_W < g/\sqrt{G}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, we can state that there is a charged particle (W boson) whose mass is bounded by g/\sqrt{G} . If we go a step further to take m_W as the cut-off of the effective $U(1)$ theory, we have $\Lambda < g/\sqrt{G}$. Of course the real cut-off can be different from m_W , since we can imagine that the effective $U(1)$ theory is a descendant of a nonabelian gauge theory with a spontaneous symmetry breaking scale different from m_W .

We could also consider other types of vortex solutions, the important point is that the mass of a solution always scales as m_W^2/g^2 , and our argument goes through.

Next, we consider a $U(1)$ theory descending from a $SU(2)$ theory. The case of a monopole is discussed in [1]. The mass of a monopole is $m = \Lambda/g^2$, the cut-off $\Lambda \sim m_W$, here m_W is the W boson mass in the 4D theory. The monopole has a field-theoretic size $1/\Lambda$, its gravitational size is Gm , demanding the latter be smaller than the former one deduces $\Lambda < g/\sqrt{G}$. The authors of [1] argue that a monopole should not become a black hole thus its gravitational size should be smaller than its field-theoretic size. In 3D, a monopole becomes an instanton [6] if we take the Euclidean time to be one of the original three spatial dimensions, and mass becomes action. The action of an instanton is m_W/g^2 , a dimensionless quantity since g^2 has a mass dimension in 3D. In 3 dimensional Euclidean spacetime, there is no horizon. The inequality $m_W < g/\sqrt{G}$ in 3D can be derived by the requirement that the gravitational size be smaller than the field-theoretic size too. To see

this, note that due to the Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$, there is a relation $R \sim GT$, T is the trace of $T_{\mu\nu}$, thus the Einstein action $1/(16\pi G) \int \sqrt{g}R \sim \int \sqrt{g}T$. This implies that the gravitational action is the same order of the field theory action. Let the gravitational size be l_G , the gravitational action is of order l_G/G , we therefore have $l_G/G \sim m_W/g^2$ or $l_G \sim Gm_W/g^2$. Now, $m_W < g/\sqrt{G}$ follows from $l_G < 1/m_W$. Why should we demand the gravitational size of an instanton be smaller than its field-theoretic size? Apparently, if $l_G > 1/m_W$, the field theory can be no longer trusted in the neighborhood $r < l_G$, since the gravitational field scales as l_G/r and becomes strong in this neighborhood, however, the monopole solution locates well inside this neighborhood if $1/m_W < l_G$, we expect large gravitational correction to the solution, and the original solution and its action can no longer be trusted. Although this example is not as clean as the vortex case, we believe that the above argument can be cast into a rigorous statement that the gravitational back-reaction will destroy the monopole solution. Since there is no horizon involved in the Euclidean solution, this is perhaps a better argument than the one in the 4D theory.

Our final example is a 2D solution. Ideally, it would be nice to generalize the kink solution of a real scalar field to one coupled to the 2D dilaton gravity, it turned out the coupled system is sufficient complex so no exact solution has been found. We resolve to consider a toy system in which a $U(1)$ dipole is coupled to the 2D dilaton gravity. The action of the 2D dilaton gravity coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field reads

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}F^2 \right), \quad (5)$$

without the $U(1)$ field, the system is amply discussed in for instance [7]. We would like to find the solution with a pair of charges separated by a distance l . In the flat spacetime, the solution is $F_{tx} = E = g(\theta(x) - \theta(x-l))$, namely, $E = 0$ outside $(0, l)$ and $E = g$ inside $(0, l)$. The energy of the dipole is g^2l . Since the whole action (5) is weighted by $\exp(-2\phi)$, after proper scaling, the weak gravity statement is $g^2l < g$, or $gl < 1$.

To find the exact solution of the dipole coupled to the dilaton gravity, choose the conformal gauge in which the only non-vanishing metric component is $g_{+-} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\rho}$, where we used the light-cone coordinates $x^\pm = t \pm x$. The equations of motion derived from action (5) are

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi - \partial_+\partial_-\phi - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\rho}E^2 &= 0, \\ \partial_+\partial_-\rho - 2\partial_+\partial_-\phi + 2\partial_+\phi\partial_-\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\rho}E^2 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

supplemented with constraints derived from the e.o.m. of components g_{++} and g_{--} :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_+\rho\partial_+\phi - \partial_+^2\phi &= 0, \\ 2\partial_-\rho\partial_-\phi - \partial_-^2\phi &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We expect a static solution. Outside $(0, l)$, the static solution, up to some overall constants, is

$$e^{2\rho} = e^{2\phi} = \frac{1}{1 + cx}. \tag{8}$$

We can choose c properly on each side of the dipole to make the solution nonsingular everywhere, since the scalar curvature $R = 8e^{-2\rho}\partial_+\partial_-\rho \sim e^{2\rho}$ is nonsingular. However, the coupling constant e^ϕ drops to zero at the infinity if $c \neq 0$. To have a vacuum solution with a finite coupling at the infinity, we choose $c = 0$ outside $(0, l)$.

To find the solution inside $(0, l)$, we solve the constraints (7) first, we have $\phi' \sim e^{2\rho}$. The e.o.m. for E is $\partial_x[\exp(-2\phi - 2\rho)E] = 0$, thus inside the dipole, $E = g \exp(2\phi + 2\rho)$. Plug this and $\phi' \sim e^{2\rho}$ into (6) we find $2\phi = \rho$ and

$$e^{2\rho} = \frac{1}{1 - 4gx}, \tag{9}$$

where we assumed that the $\rho = 0$ to the left of the dipole. We can always demand ρ and ϕ be continuous at $x = 0, l$, but the derivatives of these quantities are not continuous. In other words, in order to have a honest solution, we need to add sources to equations in (6) and (7). Since inside $(0, l)$, $2\phi = \rho$, no source is needed for the second equation in (6), this equation corresponds to the e.o.m. of the dilaton. One can not have sources for the metric from the charges since they couple only to the gauge field. One can verify that it is sufficient to add terms

$$\int \sqrt{-g_{tt}} dt dx (g\delta(x) - g\delta(x - l)) \tag{10}$$

to the action in order to generate jumps in ρ and ϕ . The physical meaning of these terms are just a pair of negative mass and position mass. It is not surprising that these terms are needed: two opposite charges are attractive so we need to add mass to generate repulsive gravitational force to have a balanced system. Finally, from the solution (9) we deduce that $4gl < 1$ in order to have a regular solution inside the pair. This is our desired result.

We need to stress that the dipole system is not realistic. To have a similar realistic system, we may consider a segment of open string, but it is a much more difficult system to deal with since we perhaps need to quantize the string first. The dipole size l of the

open string will be determined by the string tension. Nevertheless, we expect that the result we obtained is still valid for this more realistic system.

As we mentioned earlier, it may be interesting to study the kink solution coupled to the 2D dilaton gravity. Let λ be the coupling of the quartic term of the scalar field, μ the Higgs mass, then the mass of a kink is μ^3/λ . Note that λ in 2D has a dimension of mass square. We conjecture that when $\mu > \sqrt{\lambda}$ parametrically (we assume that $\exp(-2\phi)$ is the overall factor in the action), there is no regular solution in the coupled system.

To conclude, we have offered a few low dimensional examples supporting the weak gravity conjecture of [1], and these examples surprisingly involve only classical gravity. This fact may be related to the simplicity of quantum gravity in low dimensions, that is, the UV effects are less important than the IR effects.

Note added: A new conjecture is made recently in a new paper [8].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from CNSF.

References

- [1] N. Arkani-Hamed, L. Motl, A. Nicolis and C. Vafa, “The String Landscape, Black Holes and Gravity as the Weakest Force,” hep-th/0601001.
- [2] C. Vafa, “The String Landscape and the Swampland,” hep-th/0509212.
- [3] M. Douglas and Z. Lu, “Finiteness of volume of moduli spaces,” hep-th/0509224.
- [4] G. ’t Hooft, “Under the Spell of the Gauge Principle,” World Scientific.
- [5] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and G. ’t Hooft, “Three-dimensional Einstein Gravity: Dynamics of Flat Space,” Annals Phys.152 (1984) 220.
- [6] A. M. Polyakov, “Quark Confinement and Topology of Gauge Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B120 (1977) 429.
- [7] J. Harvey and A. Strominger, “Quantum Aspects of Black Holes,” hep-th/9209055.
- [8] S. Kachru, J. McGreevy and P. Svrcek, “Bounds on masses of bulk fields in string compactifications,” hep-th/0601111.